

MSCI-TS Program

MANUSCRIPT ASSESSMENT

Student's Name, Credentials _____

This form will be used to evaluate the student's performance in preparing his/her research and the final version of the student's manuscript prior to it being submitted to the MSCI-TS Committee on Graduate Studies (COGS).

Research Supervising Committee (RSC) Evaluation:

	Expectations Not Achieved	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	Distinguished
Demonstrates Appropriate Experimental Research Design/Methods	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Demonstrates Effective Written Scientific Communication	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments (Required for items marked as "Expectations Not Achieved"):	Attach a separate sheet if more room is required.

Signatures below attest to the Committee Member's Agreement and Approval of the above evaluation.

Chair's (Supervising Professor) Name, Credentials

Signature

Date

MSCI-TS COGS RSC Member's Name, Credentials

Signature

Date

MSCI-TS Graduate Faculty RSC Member's Name,
Credentials

Signature

Date

External Expertise Specific RSC Member's Name,
Credentials

Signature

Date

MSCI-TS COGS Evaluation:

- ☐ Concurs with Supervising Professor’s Assessment
- ☐ Do NOT Concur with the Above Assessment (Complete Assessment Below)

	Expectations Not Achieved	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	Distinguished
Demonstrates Appropriate Experimental Research Design/Methods	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Demonstrates Effective Written Scientific Communication	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments (Required for items marked as “Disapproved”):	Attach a separate sheet if more room is required.

MSCI-TS COGS Chair

Signature

Date

Manuscript Assessment Form Rubric

	Expectations Not Achieved	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations	Distinguished
Demonstrates Appropriate Experimental Research Design/Methods	Ineffective demonstration of research design or methods not reproducible.	Acceptable research design and methods that are reproducible.	More Advanced research design and methods that are reproducible.	Utilizes a unique research design employing cutting-edge approaches and applies methods that contribute to the field of study.
Demonstrates Effective Written Scientific Communication	Content not adequately articulated to be understood by a scientific audience.	Basic content including research question, results and discussion are adequately articulated to be understood by a scientific audience.	Content is articulated in a way that enhances the understanding of the research question, results and discussion by a scientific audience.	Content articulated in a manner that emphasizes the novelty and impactful contribution of the research to the field.